

ORIGINAL

FILED

OCT 30 2014

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
BY *88* DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAWCAR GROUP, LLC d/b/a CFI  
MEDICAL SOLUTIONS, a Michigan  
corporation

Plaintiff,

v.

GRACE MEDICAL, INC., a Nevada  
corporation, PULSE MEDICAL, INC.,  
a Georgia corporation, J. RANDALL  
PITTMAN d/b/a PREFERRED  
MEDICAL PRODUCTS, a Tennessee  
sole proprietorship, and PREFERRED  
MEDICAL PRODUCTS, LLC, a  
Tennessee company,

Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-01105-H-BLM

**JURY VERDICT**

1 When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form,  
2 please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each  
3 question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are  
4 defined and explained in the Jury Instructions.

5  
6 We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and  
7 return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.  
8

9 1. What is the total dollar amount of damages that CFI has proved by a  
10 preponderance of the evidence that CFI is entitled to receive from Defendants as a  
11 reasonable royalty for infringement of the '549 and the '637 patents?

12 \$ 315,000  
13

14 2. Has CFI proven by clear and convincing evidence that J. Randall Pittman  
15 d/b/a Preferred Medical Products knew, or should have known, that its actions  
16 constituted an unjustifiable high risk of infringement of a valid and enforceable  
17 patent?

18 Yes ☒ No ☐  
19

20 3. Has CFI proven by clear and convincing evidence that Preferred Medical  
21 Products, LLC knew, or should have known, that its actions constituted an  
22 unjustifiable high risk of infringement of a valid and enforceable patent?

23 Yes ☒ No ☐  
24

25 4. Has CFI proven by clear and convincing evidence that Grace Medical, Inc.  
26 knew, or should have known, that its actions constituted an unjustifiable high risk of  
27 infringement of a valid and enforceable patent?

28 Yes ☒ No ☐

1           5. Has CFI proven by clear and convincing evidence that Pulse Medical, Inc.  
2 knew, or should have known, that its actions constituted an unjustifiable high risk of  
3 infringement of a valid and enforceable patent?

4                               Yes   ✓                         No       

5  
6           You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to  
7 ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror  
8 should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Bailiff  
9 that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the  
10 verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

11  
12           Dated: 10/30/2014  
13                       San Diego, CA

14  
15                               *Brett McLaren*  
16                               Brett McLaren  
17                               PRESIDING JUROR  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28